

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 9118 BAI525/03273 Dennis Lewis 10/626,350 07/24/2003 **EXAMINER** 24118 09/01/2004 7590 HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN BASICHAS, ALFRED **228 W 17TH PLACE** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER TULSA, OK 74119 3749

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
Office Action Summary	10/626,350	LEWIS, DENNIS	/
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alfred Basichas	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuth - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a leation. 8 a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON. 8. by statute, cause the application to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ution.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 July 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	I This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			is is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the approximate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the I 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya ne correction is required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	<u>'</u>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/626,350

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 12-16, and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kleva (5,845,631), which shows all of the claimed limitations. Kleva shows a burner assembly including, among other things, an air/fuel manifold 15 providing fuel to a plurality of burners 4, a plate 16 (see at least figs. 3,4) and a plurality of heat exchange tubes 6 aligned with the burners.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3749

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 9-11 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleva (5,845,631), which discloses substantially all of the claimed limitations. Kleva does not specifically recite the various embodiments recited in the claims, such as the number of burner ports differing from the number of inlets, greater or less than, various shapes of the ports, and fully or partially premixing. These various embodiments are clearly a matter of design choice, as all are old and well known in the art. Applicant has further indicated by omitting any detail to these embodiments that there is a lack of criticality thereto. In view of the absence of criticality or detail for these

Art Unit: 3749

particular embodiments, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated it into the invention disclosed by Kleva, so as to provide for desired known effects.

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 703 306 3476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0861.

August 26, 2004

Primary Examiner 703 306 3476